UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/668,700	09/22/2000	Joachim Kim	44400.010100	2337
33893 JLB CONSULT	7590 08/20/200 ΓING, INC.	8	EXAM	IINER
c/o INTELLEVATE			USTARIS, JOSEPH G	
P.O. BOX 5205 MINNEAPOLI	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	09/668,700	KIM, JOACHIM	
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JOSEPH G. USTARIS	2623	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>JOSEPH G. USTARIS</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Christine Johnson</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>14 August 2008</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	²)∏ applicant's representative	·]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>75</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Ottesen.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed the difference</u> discussed some possible claim amendments.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no contained allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW on reverse side or on attached sheet.	ments which the examiner agopy of the amendments that was compared to the amendments that was compared to the action has already of ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	reed would render ould render the state of t	er the claims claims  OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
/Joseph G Ustaris/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2623			

Application No.

Applicant(s)